

Corrections to S106 priority-setting process (Streets & Open Spaces) report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 6 October 2016

We regret that the report considered by the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 6/10/2016 included some inconsistencies, omissions and typographical errors, listed below. This does not affect the officer recommendations nor raise any particular issues about using the S106 contributions on time. The Executive Councillor and Opposition Spokes have been notified. Please accept our apologies.

Page	Paragraph	Correction
1	1.2	Change of word order (“available” now before “in this portfolio”).
5	Table 1	Devolved funding availability figure for informal open space in South Area should be £230k, not £210k. Devolved funding availability figure for play areas in East Area should be £40k, not £70k.
6	3.11a	Delete “can be spent effectively” on the bottom line of the page (sentence already refers to the ‘effective use of limited generic contributions’).
8	4.3	Fourth line, delete “them”.
9	Table 3	In the left-hand, ‘informal open space’ columns, Trumpington should be £200k (not £180k) under ‘currently devolved’ and £290k (not £270k) under ‘proposal to devolve all’. Cherry Hinton should be £10k (not £20k) under ‘currently devolved’ and £10k (not £20k) under ‘proposal to devolve all’.
9	Table 3	In the right-hand, ‘play areas’ columns: Arbury should be <£10k (not <£5k) under ‘currently devolved’ and £10k (not <£10k) under ‘proposal to devolve all’.
9	Table 3	In the right-hand, ‘play areas: proposal to devolve all’ column, there are three other changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Romsey should be £10k, not £40k. • Queen Edith’s should be £50k, not £30k • Trumpington should be £240k, not £140k
11	Table 4	Add ‘Rounded down to nearest £10,000.
11	Table 4	The ‘devolved to area committee’ funding for informal open space should read: South Area: £310k, not £300k.
11	Table 4	The ‘devolved to area committee’ funding for play areas should read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North Area: £30k, not £20k. • East Area: £90k, not £160k • South Area: £300k, not £180k.
11	4.10b	Delete Romsey from the list of wards which have more than £30k of S106 funding for provision for children and teenagers (for play areas) under the proposed approach.
14	5.1d	Delete second “was not” from the second sentence
15	5.3c	Add “not” to second sentence, which should read “Officers could not undertake this without diverting attention from implementing other more developed priority projects which are ready.”
24	7b	Full stop after “in future”. Delete (“ before “Grant”.
25	-	Under ‘How would local communities benefit from this project?’, third line: Add “an” before “opportunity”.

20 October 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

Corrections to S106 priority-setting process (Communities) report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 6 October 2016

We regret that the report considered by the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 6/10/2016 included some inconsistencies, omissions and typographical errors, listed below. This does not affect the officer recommendations nor raise any particular issues about using the S106 contributions on time. The Executive Councillor and Opposition Spokes have been notified. Please accept our apologies.

Page	Paragraph	Correction
2	Table 1	<p>Devolved S106 funding availability for community facilities should be:</p> <p>East Area: £160k, not £150k West/Central Area: £40k not £30k.</p> <p>City-wide S106 funding availability for indoor sports should be:</p> <p>North Area: £200k, not £190k East Area: £200k, not £190k West/Central Area: £10k, not <£10k.</p>
3	3.4	Should refer to 'Community Facilities (not Facility) Strategy'.
5	Table 2	<p>Heading should be "Outdoor sports S106 availability based on combining devolved and strategic funds (rounded down to nearest £10,000)"</p> <p>The table previously included was based on the currently availability of devolved outdoor sports S106 funds (under the arrangements prior to the 2016/17 priority-setting round). Whilst the figures (which should have all been expressed as £k) were correct, this was not the intended purpose of the table, as described in paragraph 4.2.</p> <p>This change of purpose means that the funding availability values (where the city-wide S106 contributions are from) have increased for six wards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arbury: £10k, not <£10k • King's Hedges: £10k, not <£10k • Trumpington: £300k, not £90k • Abbey: £110k, not £80k • Coleridge: £80k, not £40k • Romsey: £100k, not £70k
6	4.5a	The total for city-wide indoor sports contributions should be £490,000, not £470,000.
9	Appendix A	Should refer to 'Community Facilities (not Facility) Strategy'.
12	7b	Full stop after "in future". Delete "(" before "Grant".

17 October 2016

This page is intentionally left blank